COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 22

Allen C. Turner TraskBritt PO Box 2550 Salt Lake City, UT 84110

COPY MAILED APR 1 8 2003

In re Application of Figdor et al. Application No. 09/214,836 Filed: October 4, 1999 Attorney Docket No. 2183-4230US OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed March 31, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No further petition fee is required for the request. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office action mailed May 7, 2002. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were timely obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on August 8, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed December 31, 2002.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply. (2) the petition fee.

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply

until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, and a terminal disclaimer and fee if the application was filed on or before June 8, 1995 or if the application is a design application.

Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information.2

The instant petition meets all of the above requirements. However, a Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be signed by a registered attorney or agent, all the inventors, or an assignee with a 100% ownership interest in the application.

<sup>1</sup> In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D).

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$930.00 extension of time fee submitted with the petition on March 31, 2003 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail before May 1, 2003:

Commissioner for Patents

**Box DAC** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

By mail after May 1, 2003:

Mail Stop PETITION Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions 2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23 Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (703) 306-5683.

Charles Steven Brantley Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

cc: B. Klein

Archimedes Weg 4 2333 CN Leiden Netherlands